



INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY REPORT

GEN-2024-SR16

Published July 2025

By SPP Generator Interconnections Dept.

REVISION HISTORY

DATE OR VERSION NUMBER	AUTHOR	CHANGE DESCRIPTION
07/22/2025	SPP	Initial draft report issued.
07/28/2025	SPP	Adjusted 2 nd bullet on page 2.
07/29/2025	SPP	Final report

CONTENTS

Revision History	i
Summary	1
Introduction	1
Phase(s) of Interconnection Service	1
Compensation for Amounts Advanced for Network Upgrade(s)	1
Interconnection Customer Interconnection Facilities	2
Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities and Non-Shared Network Upgrade(s)	3
Shared Network Upgrade(s)	4
Contingent Network Upgrade(s)	5
Affected System Upgrade(s)	6
Conclusion	7
Appendices	8
A: Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities Study Report and Network Upgrades Report(s)	9

SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

This Interconnection Facilities Study (IFS) for Interconnection Request GEN-2024-SR16 is for a 100 MW generating facility located in Rogers County, OK. The Interconnection Request was studied in the Surplus Impact Study for ERIS. The Interconnection Customer's requested in-service date is 12/31/2026.

The interconnecting Transmission Owner, American Electric Power Southwest Transmissing Planning (AEP), performed a detailed IFS at the request of SPP. The full report is included in Appendix A. SPP has determined that full Interconnection Service will be available after the assigned Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities (TOIF), Non-Shared Network Upgrades, Shared Network Upgrades, Contingent Network Upgrades, and Affected System Upgrades that are required for full interconnection service are completed.

The primary objective of the IFS is to identify necessary Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, other direct assigned upgrades, cost estimates, and associated upgrade lead times needed to grant the requested Interconnection Service.

PHASE(S) OF INTERCONNECTION SERVICE

It is not expected that Interconnection Service will occur in phases. However, full Interconnection Service will not be available until all Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrade(s) can be placed in service.

COMPENSATION FOR AMOUNTS ADVANCED FOR NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

FERC Order ER20-1687-000 eliminated the use of Attachment Z2 revenue crediting as an option for compensation. The Incremental Long Term Congestion Right (ILTCR) process will be the sole process to compensate upgrade sponsors as of July 1st, 2020.

INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES

The Generating Facility is proposed to consist of thirty-two (32) SMA SCS-3950-UP-US Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) inverters for a total generating nameplate capacity of 115.328 MW which exceeds the requested Surplus Interconnection Service of 100 MW. The sum of the output from the combined generation of legacy facilities Northeastern 1, 1A, 1B, 2 and GEN-2024-SR16 are limited at the Point of Interconnection by the power plant controller to 1,125 MW.

The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to be designed, procured, constructed, installed, maintained, and owned by the Interconnection Customer at its sole expense include:

- 34.5 kV underground cable collection circuits;
- The project will connect the newly constructed 34.5 kV collector substation to the Point of Interconnection (POI) at the 138 kV bus at the existing Transmission Owner substation (Northeastern 138 kV) that is owned and maintained by Transmission Owner;
- All transmission facilities required to connect the Interconnection Customer's substation to the POI;
- Equipment at the Interconnection Customer's substation necessary to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous rated power output at the high-side of the generator substation at a power factor within the range of 95% lagging and 95% leading in accordance with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Order 827. The Interconnection Customer may use inverter manufacturing options for providing reactive power under no/reduced generation conditions. The Interconnection Customer will be required to provide documentation and design specifications demonstrating how the requirements are met; and,
- All necessary relay, protection, control and communication systems required to protect Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities and Generating Facilities and coordinate with Transmission Owner's relay, protection, control and communication systems.

TRANSMISSION OWNER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NON-SHARED NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

To facilitate interconnection, the interconnecting Transmission Owner will perform work as shown below necessary for the acceptance of the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities.

Table 1 and **Table 2** list the Interconnection Customer’s estimated cost responsibility for Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities (TOIF) and Non-Shared Network Upgrade(s) and provides an estimated lead time for completion of construction. The estimated lead time begins when the Generator Interconnection Agreement has been fully executed.

Table 1: Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities (TOIF)

Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities (TOIF)	Total Cost Estimate (\$)	Allocated Percent (%)	Allocated Cost Estimate (\$)
<u>Transmission Owner’s Interconnection (TOIF):</u> Add sub-metering at the 34.5kV injection point for the Battery/Storage generating	\$135,371	100%	\$135,371
<u>Estimated Lead Time: 18 Months</u>			
Total	\$135,371		\$135,371

Table 2: Non-Shared Network Upgrade(s)

Non-Shared Network Upgrades Description	ILTCR	Total Cost Estimate (\$)	Allocated Percent (%)	Allocated Cost Estimate (\$)
<u>None</u>		\$0	100.00%	\$0
Total		\$ 0		\$ 0

SHARED NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

The Interconnection Customer’s share of costs for Shared Network Upgrades is estimated in **Table 3** below.

Table 3: Interconnection Customer Shared Network Upgrade(s)

Shared Network Upgrades Description	ILTCR	Total Cost Estimate (\$)	Allocated Percent (%)	Allocated Cost Estimate (\$)
None	Eligible	\$0	0%	\$0
Total		\$ 0		\$ 0

All studies have been conducted assuming that higher-queued Interconnection Request(s) and the associated Network Upgrade(s) will be placed into service. If higher-queued Interconnection Request(s) withdraw from the queue, suspend or terminate service, the Interconnection Customer’s share of costs may be revised. Restudies, conducted at the customer’s expense, will determine the Interconnection Customer’s revised allocation of Shared Network Upgrades.

CONTINGENT NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

Certain Contingent Network Upgrades are **currently not the cost responsibility** of the Interconnection Customer but will be required for full Interconnection Service.

Table 4: Interconnection Customer Contingent Network Upgrade(s)

Contingent Network Upgrade(s) Description	Current Cost Assignment	Estimated In-Service Date
None	\$0	

Depending upon the status of higher- or equally-queued customers, the Interconnection Request’s in-service date is at risk of being delayed or Interconnection Service is at risk of being reduced until the in-service date of these Contingent Network Upgrades.

AFFECTED SYSTEM UPGRADE(S)

To facilitate interconnection, the Affected System Transmission Owner will be required to perform the facilities study work as shown below necessary for the acceptance of the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities. **Table 5** displays the current impact study costs provided by either MISO or AECI as part of the Affected System Impact review. The Affected System facilities study could provide revised costs and will provide each Interconnection Customer’s allocation responsibilities for the upgrades.

Table 5: Interconnection Customer Affected System Upgrade(s)

Affected System Upgrades Description	Total Cost Estimate (\$)	Allocated Percent (%)	Allocated Cost Estimate (\$)
<u>None</u>	\$0	0%	\$0
Total	\$ 0		\$ 0

CONCLUSION

After all Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades have been placed into service, Interconnection Service for 100 MW can be granted. Full Interconnection Service will be delayed until the TOIF, Non-Shared NU, Shared NU, Contingent NU, Affected System Upgrades that are required for full interconnection service are completed. The Interconnection Customer’s estimated cost responsibility for full interconnection service is summarized in the table below.

Table 6: Cost Summary

Description	Allocated Cost Estimate
Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities Upgrade(s)	\$135,371
Non-Shared Network Upgrade(s)	\$ 0
Shared Network Upgrade(s)	\$ 0
Affected System Upgrade(s)	\$ 0
Total	\$135,371

Use the following link for Quarterly Updates on upgrades from this report: <https://spp.org/spp-documents-filings/?id=18641>

A draft Generator Interconnection Agreement will be provided to the Interconnection Customer consistent with the final results of this IFS report. The Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer will have 60 days to negotiate the terms of the GIA consistent with the SPP Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT).

APPENDICES

**A: TRANSMISSION OWNER'S INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
REPORT AND NETWORK UPGRADES REPORT(S)**

See next page for the Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities Study Report and Network Upgrades Report(s).



**AEP Generation Interconnection
Facilities Study Report
for
Surplus
GEN-2024-SR16**

**Northeastern 138 kV
Rogers County, Oklahoma**

July 2025

1 Facilities Study Summary

American Electric Power Southwest Transmission Planning (AEP) performed the following study at the request of the Southwest Power Pool (SPP) for SPP Generation Interconnection request GEN-2024-SR16. Per the SPP Generator Interconnection Procedures (GIP), SPP requested that AEP perform an Interconnection Facilities Studies (IFS) for Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with Section 8.11 for the following Interconnection and/or Network Upgrade(s):

1.1 Project Description

GEN-2024-SR16 proposes to add a 100 MW battery/storage generation facility at the 138 kV Northeastern AEP station to their existing Northeastern POI (Figure 1) in Rogers County, Oklahoma.

1.2 AEP's Scope of Work to Facilitate Interconnection

- To accommodate the interconnection, work will need to be completed at the Interconnection Customer stations. Sub-metering will be needed for GEN-2024-SR16.
- AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.
- It is understood that the Interconnection Customer is responsible for all of the connection costs associated with interconnecting GEN-2024-SR16 to the AEP transmission system.

1.3 Short Circuit Evaluation

- It is standard practice for AEP to recommend replacing a circuit breaker when the current through the breaker for a fault exceeds 100% of its interrupting rating with recloser de-rating applied, as determined by the ANSI/IEEE C37.5-1979, C37.010-1979 & C37.04-1979 breaker rating methods.
- In the AEP system, no breakers were found to exceed their interrupting capability after the addition of the generation and related facilities. Therefore, there are no additional short circuit upgrade costs associated with the GEN-2024-SR16 interconnection.

1.4 Stability Evaluation

- Based on the results of the GEN-2024-SR16 DISIS short circuit and stability report, AEP is not aware of any instances where the system does not meet TPL-001 stability performance requirements for the planning events and generation dispatch conditions that were considered in this DISIS study.

1.5 Interconnection Cost of Facilities Included in the Facilities Study:

Network Upgrades	\$0
Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities (TOIF)	\$135,371
Total Cost	\$135,371

The estimates do not include the impact that delays in obtaining ROW, permits, or other approvals may have.

1.6 Project Lead time

Project in-service date is projected to be 18 months after the issuance of Authorization to Proceed from the Interconnection Customer.

Figure 1: Point of Interconnection (POI INFORMATION) One-Line Diagram

